## **FISCAL NOTE**

Bill #: HB0010 Title: CERCLA bonds for Libby reclamation state

matching funds

**Primary Sponsor:** Maedje, R **Status:** As Introduced

Sponsor signature D		Date	Chuck Swysgood, Budget Director Date		
]	Fiscal Summary		FY 2004	FY 2005	
I	Revenue:		<u>Difference</u>	<u>Difference</u>	
	State Special Revenue CERCLA Match		\$430,630	\$430,630	
	Reclamation and Development Grants Orphan Share Account		(\$215,315) (\$215,315)	(\$215,315) (\$215,315)	
Net Impact on General Fund Balance:		\$0	\$0		
	Significant Local Gov. Impact		Technical Concerns		
$\boxtimes$	Included in the Executive Budget		Signi	Significant Long-Term Impacts	
	Dedicated Revenue Form Attached		☐ Need	Needs to be included in HB 2	

## **Fiscal Analysis**

## **ASSUMPTIONS:**

- 1. Total financial need to match federal Superfund is estimated by EPA to fall between \$7-10 million. However, current estimates indicate that in order to provide the 10 percent matching share the Department of Environmental Quality (DEQ) will need to issue \$5 million general obligation bonds in the spring of fiscal 2004 at a rate of 5.85 percent.
- 2. DEQ anticipates the annual debt service payments of \$430,630.
- 3. Beginning July 1, 2003, this bill would change the allocation of resource indemnity and groundwater assessment tax. The amount necessary to cover CERCLA bond payments would be deposited in the CERCLA match debt service fund. This will be \$430,630 in both fiscal 2004 and fiscal 2005. Remaining collections would be allocated as under current law: \$366,000 to the groundwater assessment account, half of the remainder to the reclamation and development grants accounts, up to \$150,000 to the natural resource workers' tuition scholarship account, and the remainder to the orphan share account. The table on the following page shows the allocation under current law, under this bill, and the differences.
- 4. This bill would not have significant administrative impacts on the Department Revenue.

## Fiscal Note Request HB0010, As Introduced (continued)

Resource Indemnity and Groundwater Assessment Tax Allocation OBPP Revenue Projection								
	Current Law		HB 10	Difference				
	FY2004	FY 2005	FY2004 FY 2005	FY2004 FY 2005				
Revenue	\$1,142,000	\$1,159,000	\$1,142,000 \$1,159,000	\$ - \$ -				
CERCLA Match Groundwater Assessment Reclamation & Development Grants Resource Workers' Scholarships Orphan Share	\$ - \$ 366,000 \$ 388,000 \$ 150,000 \$ 238,000	\$ 366,000 \$ 396,500 \$ 150,000 \$ 246,500	\$ 430,630 \$ 430,630 \$ 366,000 \$ 366,000 \$ 172,685 \$ 181,185 \$ 150,000 \$ 150,000 \$ 22,685 \$ 31,185	\$ 430,630				

FISCAL IMPACT:		
	FY 2004	FY 2005
	<u>Difference</u>	<u>Difference</u>
Revenues:		
State Special Revenue (02)		
CERCLA Match	\$430,630	\$430,630
Reclamation and Development Grants	(\$215,315)	(\$215,315)
Orphan Share Account	(\$215,315)	(\$215,315)
Net Impact to Fund Balance (Revenue minus Fu	unding of Expenditures):	
State Special Revenue (02)		
CERCLA Match	\$430,630	\$430,630
Reclamation and Development Grants	(\$215,315)	(\$215,315)
Orphan Share Account	(\$215,315)	(\$215,315)